Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ECFIVED Washington, D.C. 20554 MAR 23 1993 In the Matter of Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities Amendment of the Part 69 Allocation of General Support Facilities Costs CC Docket No. 92-222

TO: THE COMMISSION

EMERGENCY PETITION TO HOLD PROCEEDINGS IN ABEYANCE

MFS Communications Company, Inc. ("MFS"), by its undersigned counsel, hereby petitions the Commission to hold in abeyance the Common Carrier Bureau's (the "Bureau's") review of LEC zone density pricing plans, filed pursuant to the *Expanded Interconnection Order*, until the Commission has completed a full investigation of LEC volume and term discounts for interstate special access services and has prescribed new, cost-based rates; and also to postpone any action on General Support Facilities ("GSF") cost allocation changes in CC Docket No. 92-222 until it has remedied the excessive and discriminatory volume and term discounts found in current LEC interstate special access tariffs.

MFS is compelled to file this petition on an emergency basis because it faces imminent and irreparable competitive harm if the Commission acts on zone density

of Copies recid_ ∴A 6 C D E

¹ Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities, CC Docket No. 91-141 and CC Docket No. 92-222, Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 7 FCC Rcd. 7369 (1992) (the "Order").

pricing and GSF allocations before it addresses the equally important issue of unbridled LEC discounts for interstate special access services. Absent immediate Commission action, LEC zone density pricing plans are likely to go into effect in some study areas as early as May 17, 1993, which would permit LECs to reduce high capacity special access rates by as much as 10% in the zones where they face the most intense competition. In addition, price cap LECs will be able to reduce their DS1 and DS3 prices across the board by an additional 5% in their annual access tariff filings that will become effective on July 1, and the Commission's GSF reallocation proposal would result in still further rate reductions, likely on the order of 5 to 10 percent, with the exact amount depending on each LEC's GSF costs. Thus, LEC special access rates, which are already at discriminatory and predatorily low levels due to unrestrained and excessive volume and term discounting, could be reduced by as much as an additional 25 percent within the next few months.²

As discussed below, the Commission's inquiry into unbridled LEC volume and term discounts is still at an early stage and has not run its course. The Commission still has no basis for determining that current LEC volume and term discount rates are just and reasonable, much less to assume that interstate special access rates reduced dramatically below current depressed levels as the result of zone density pricing and GSF

² Significantly, the percentages discussed above are the *average* reductions possible for the price cap subindexes composed of a weighted average of *all* DS1 and DS3 service rate elements within a given pricing zone. Nothing in the Commission's current rules or proposals requires that these reductions be applied uniformly to all rate elements within a subindex, so that it would be possible for LECs to reduce some of their volume and term discount offerings by considerably *more* than 25 percent. Moreover, current Commission rules and proposals do not require that *any* cost data be submitted in connection with rate changes within the price cap bands.

reallocation would be cost justified. It would therefore be irrational and imprudent prior to completion of the volume/term discount inquiry to permit the LECs to reduce these questionable rates even further, without providing any cost justification and without any safeguards against unreasonable discrimination. The initiation of such further rate decreases on top of the virtually unbridled rate flexibility awarded the LECs under volume/term discounting will almost certainly cause serious and irreparable harm to CAPs and leave competitive special access services stillborn.

In the Expanded Interconnection Order, the Commission found that, while "reasonable volume and term discounts can be a useful and legitimate means of pricing special access services to recognize the efficiencies associated with larger volumes of traffic and the certainty of longer term deals," nonetheless "[t]he largest of the volume and term discounts cited by MFS, some of which may result in total discounts of more than 70%, however, may be anticompetitive or raise questions of discrimination." Order, paras. 199, 200. The Commission concluded that "the largest of the discounts offered by the LECs warrant some additional inquiry to help us determine whether we should promulgate guidelines requiring cost justification of any subset of LEC volume and term discounts," and directed the Bureau to obtain cost support data from the LECs for this purpose. Id., para. 200 (footnote omitted). MFS' pending Petition for Limited Reconsideration and Clarification of the *Order*, filed December 18, 1992, requests that the Commission investigate all special access volume and term discounts and that it immediately prescribe rules requiring that discounts be cost justified. From a marketplace perspective, MFS submits that this issue is, beyond question, the single most important competitive issue facing the CAP industry. As MFS earlier argued, failure to resolve this matter promptly would leave special access competition an empty, theoretical concept.

The Bureau, pursuant to the *Order*, requested that four LECs submit cost support data for their most highly discounted special access offerings. As MFS stated in an *ex parte* letter to the Chief of the Bureau, submitted on March 3, 1993 (a copy of which appears as Attachment A hereto), the LEC responses were from MFS' perspective wholly inadequate to permit a competent analysis of their rate levels. MFS therefore requested that the Bureau expand the scope of its inquiry into LEC volume and term discounts, and that it require the LECs to provide additional and more detailed cost data.³ To date, the Bureau has not released any findings relating to its inquiry nor (to MFS' knowledge) taken any action to gather additional information. Although MFS appreciates that the Bureau is proceeding in good faith to address these issues and that its staff is limited, every day's delay in resolving this threshold issue results in a greater percentage of the

In the meantime, while the volume and term discount proceeding kicks into first gear, most of the Tier 1 LECs have filed zone density pricing plans with the Bureau, as authorized by the *Order*, paras. 179-184. These carriers propose to deaverage rates for DS1 and DS3 services in three zones. Under the *Order*, each service is subject to a separate subindex in each zone. As the Commission explained,

under this system, a LEC could lower prices for DS1 [or DS3] services in the highest density zone by as much as 10% per year adjusted for the price cap index (PCI), and could raise prices for DS1 [or DS3] services in the lowest density zone by no more than 5% per year adjusted for the PCI, without triggering any of the additional cost justification or advance notice requirements contained in the price cap rules.

Order, para. 182 (footnotes omitted). Zone density pricing may be implemented in a LEC study area as soon as "an interconnector has taken the expanded interconnection cross-connect element." Order, para. 179 n.411. In the case of those LECs that have interim interconnection tariffs in effect (namely New England Telephone, New York Telephone, Bell Atlantic, Illinois Bell, Centel of Illinois, and Pacific Bell), expanded interconnection services will be purchased under the permanent tariffs immediately after they take effect, which is scheduled for May 17, 1992. Thus, at least in some study areas, LECs would immediately be able to reduce DS1 and DS3 rates in their high density zones by 5 to 10 percent.⁴

⁴ The potential immediate reduction depends upon how far the LEC's current DS1 or DS3 subindex is above its price cap floor. If the subindex is currently exactly at the floor level (5% below the previous year's subindex adjusted for PCI changes), then an additional and immediate 5% reduction would be permissible because of the 10% downward pricing flexibility allowed within zones. If the subindex is currently above the floor level, a greater price reduction would be possible.

These LECs would then be permitted to grant an additional 10 percent reduction in their price cap tariffs that will become effective on July 1, 1993, as would the remaining Tier 1 LECs when their zone density plans take effect. Moreover, the LECs have proposed that GSF reallocation be reflected as an exogenous cost reduction in the special access price cap index ("PCI") effective July 1, 1993. If this takes place, then the PCI will be reduced by 5 to 10 percent, depending on each LEC's GSF costs, and since DS1 and DS3 subindex pricing flexibility is relative to changes in the PCI, this means that the total July 1 price reductions in the high density zone (i.e., the zone most susceptible to CAP competition) could be as much as 15 to 20 percent above and beyond the already incredibly low levels resulting from unbridled volume and term pricing flexibility. Those LECs who implement zone pricing before July 1 could reduce their rates by approximately an additional 25 percent within a two month period. The potential price reductions are illustrated in the following table, which is based on actual price cap data recently filed by BellSouth:

Table 1

1.	Current Special Access PCI (as of 1/26/93)			98.8839		
2.	Current DS1 Subindex (as of 1/26/93)			92.8569		
3.	Current Band Limit a.	s of DS1 Subindex	Upper	98.7929		
	b.		Lower	89.5469		
4.	Initial Band Limits a. b.	of High Density DS1 Subind	ex (illustrative)* Upper Lower	98.7929 84.9239		
5.	Initial High Density DS1 Subindex (Illustrative)**					
6.	New Special Access PCI (7/1/93) (Illustrative)***			92.1598		
7.	Change in PCI	(L6/L1)		.932		
8.	New Band Limits o					
	a.	(L2*(L7+.05))	Upper	91.1855		
	b.	(L2*(L705))	Lower	81.8998		
9.	New Band Limits of High Density DS1 Subindex					
	a.	(L5*(L7+.05))	Upper	83.3953		
	b.	(L5*(L710))	Lower	70.6567		
10.	Cumulative Allowa	ble Reduction in High Densi ((L2-L9b)/L2)	ty Zone DS1 Rates	23.9%		

^{*} If zone pricing becomes effective before 7/1/93 price cap revisions.

As shown above, a 25 percent reduction in DS1 or DS3 rates—with absolutely no cost data required to be filed in support—is entirely conceivable under the Commission's current rules coupled with its proposal for GSF reallocation. This example, using the conservative assumption of a 7.5 percent reduction due to GSF reallocation, shows that

^{**} Assumes maximum allowable reduction of DS1 rates in high density zone.

^{****} Assumes 4.0% inflation, 3.3% productivity factor, and 7.5% exogenous cost reduction due to GSF reallocation.

the LEC would be permitted to reduce DS1 rates by almost 24 percent. (Precisely the same rules would apply to changes in DS3 rates.) Some LECs may be able to take even larger reductions. And, as stated in note 2, *supra*, these reductions need not be applied uniformly to all rate elements, so that, as experience demonstrates, some of the most steeply-discounted service options could be reduced by greater percentages.

Significantly, even the grossly inadequate and conclusory cost information provided to date by the BOCs demonstrates clearly that price reductions of 20 percent or more below existing levels (deflated through volume/term discount pricing) would be predatory in the case of the most highly discounted special access services. For example, Bell Atlantic alleges that its monthly cost for DS3C (a DS3 "three-pack") interoffice mileage is \$2,187.43 plus \$113.74 per mile. It offers this service at rates as low as \$2,294.22 plus \$375.81 per mile for five-year term customers. Also, Pacific Bell offers a DS3 "twelve-pack" channel termination at a rate of \$9,982 per month for a five year term, as contrasted to an alleged monthly cost of \$7,627; and Ameritech offers DS3 channel mileage in Illinois at monthly rates of \$365 per termination plus \$113 per mile for a 60 month term, with an alleged cost of \$323.56 per termination plus \$75.25 per mile. Even if it is assumed for the sake of argument that the LECs' cost analyses are methodologically correct and accurate—which MFS does not concede—the LECs' own

⁵ All cost data cited in this paragraph are taken from the information submitted to the Common Carrier Bureau on January 15, 1993, by Ameritech, Bell Atlantic, and Pacific Bell, in response to the Bureau's inquiries concerning these carriers' volume and term discounts for interstate special access service. *See* Attachment A.

data concerning their steepest volume and term discounts show that prices reduced by 20 to 25 percent would be below cost in many cases.

At present, absent a Section 208 complaint and its ensuing delay, protestants have little recourse to combat such LEC predatory pricing. Moreover, any such challenge will be extraordinarily time consuming and the standards unclear. Meanwhile, the LECs will be able to continue pricing below cost, while the challenge works its way through the agency pipeline, with an immediate and irreparable effect on local special access competition.

If the Commission were to approve zone density pricing plans and to implement its proposed GSF cost reallocation under these conditions and prior to resolving the volume/term discount inquiry, it would effectively be authorizing LECs to engage in below-cost predatory pricing. It is difficult to imagine any step that would be more inimical to the pro-competitive goals of the *Order* than this. The benefits of economic efficiency, improved productivity, and greater consumer choice that were expected to result from expanded interconnection cannot develop if the LECs are permitted to offer anti-competitive prices, and to cross-subsidize these prices with inflated revenues from other, less competitive offerings.⁶ In short, the LECs should not be able to avail

⁶ Large users of special access service may well be looking forward to the prospect of 25 percent rate reductions, and thus may argue that any restrictions on LEC pricing flexibility would be contrary to their interests. They are not necessarily correct even from their own perspective, since if the LECs succeed in driving competitors out of the market the short-term benefits of price reductions would likely be offset in the longer term by excessive costs resulting from the lack of meaningful competitive alternatives. More importantly, however, the Commission's statutory obligation is to protect the overall public interest and not the narrow private interests of a particular class of users. Any benefit that large users realize through price reductions will be offset by price increases elsewhere—the GSF cost reallocation will result in increases in the

themselves of any additional significant discount pricing until the unbundled volume/term discounts are fully investigated and, as MFS believes, rendered unlawful.

For these reasons, MFS urges the Commission, on an urgent and immediate basis, to instruct the Bureau to defer approval of any zone density pricing plans until after (1) the Bureau has completed its pending inquiry into certain LEC volume and term discounts and conducted a similar inquiry into the discounts offered by the other Bell Operating Companies and GTE; and (2) the Commission has reviewed the results of these inquiries and prescribed binding guidelines for cost justification of volume and term discounts. MFS also urges the Commission to hold CC Docket No. 92-222 in abevance

	discounts. MFS also urges the Commission to hold CC Docket No. 92-222 in abevance					
;	discounts. MFS also ur	ges the Commission to ho	Id CC Docket No. 92-23	22. in abevance		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·						
	_					
t-1 1						
4.0	-					
F_						
_			`**			
<u>, </u>						
	63 ;					

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of March 1993, copies of an Emergency Petition To Hold Proceedings In Abeyance were sent by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Ervin S. Duggan *
Commissioner
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

James H. Quello *
Commissioner
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Sherrie P. Marshall *
Commissioner
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 826
Washington, D.C. 20554

Andrew Barrett *
Commissioner
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert M. Pepper, Chief *
Office of Plans and Policy
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 822
Washington, D.C. 20554

Gregory Vogt *
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
 Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 518
Washington, D.C. 20554

Cheryl Tritt *
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Schlichting, Chief *
Policy & Program Planning Div.
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544
Washington, D.C. 20554

Stuart Dolgin, Esq.
Personal Communications
Network
Services of New York
17 Battery Place
Suite 1200
New York, NY 10004-1256

Angela Burnett, Esq. Information Industry Assn. 555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20001

Ms. Brenda Buchan
Florida Public Service
Commission
Fletcher Building
101 E. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0851

Robert A. Mazer, Esq.
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans
& Doyle
One Thomas Circle, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter Arth Jr., Esq. Edward W. O'Neill, Esq. Irene K. Moosen, Esq. California PUC 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Michael L. Glaser, Esq. Joseph P. Benkert, Esq. Hopper and Kanouff, P.C. 1610 Wynkoop Street Suite 200 Denver, CO 80202

MetroComm 50 West Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Joe D. Edge, Esq. Hopkins & Sutter 888 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Richard E. Wiley, Esq. Michael Yourshaw, Esq. William B. Baker, Esq. Wiley Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Edward C. Addison, Director Virginia State Corp. Commission P. O. Box 1197 Richmond, VA 23209

Richard A. Askoff, Esq.
Joanne Salvatore Bochis, Esq.
National Exchange Carrier
Association, Inc.
100 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

James P. Tuthill, Esq. Nancy C. Woolf, Esq. Pacific Bell William B. Barfield, Esq. Richard M. Sbaratta, Esq. Helen A. Shockey, Esq. BellSouth Telephone Companies 1155 Peachtree Street, N.W. Atlanta, GA 30367-6000

Paul J. Berman, Esq. Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. P. O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

James S. Blaszak, Esq. Charles C. Hunter, Esq. Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 900, East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Roy Morris, Esq.
Allnet Communications
Services, Inc.
1990 M Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

William J. Cowan, Esq.
Public Service Commission of
the State of New York
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

Patrick A. Lee, Esq. Joseph Di Bella, Esq. Edward E. Niehoff, Esq. NYNEX 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

Paul Rodgers, Esq. Charles D. Gray, Esq. James Bradford Ramsey, Esq. NARUC 1102 ICC Building P. O. Box 684 Francine J. Berry, Esq. David P. Condit, Esq. Peter H. Jacoby, Esq. AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Brian R. Moir, Esq. Larry A. Blosser, Esq. Fisher, Wayland, Cooper & Leader 1255 23rd Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1125

Daryl L. Avery
Acting General Counsel
Peter G. Wolfe, Esq.
PSC of the District of
Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Suite 815
Washington, D.C. 20001

Michael D. Lowe, Esq. Lawrence W. Katz, Esq. Michael E. Glover, Esq. Bell Atlantic Corporation 1710 H Street, N.W. 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006

William D. Baskett III, Esq. Thomas E. Taylor, Esq. David S. Bence, Esq. Frost & Jacobs 2500 Central Trust Center 201 E. Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Gail L. Polivy, Esq. GTE Telephone Companies 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

International Transcription Service * Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 246 Robert L. Hoegle, Esq. Timothy J. Fitzgibbon, Esq. Digital Direct, Inc. 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20006

Randolph J. May, Esq. David A. Gross, Esq. Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Margot Smiley Humphrey, Esq. Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Wayne V. Black, Esq. C. Douglas Jarrett, Esq. Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Floyd S. Keene, Esq.
Marc R. Ortlieb, Esq.
Ameritech Operating Companies
2000 West Ameritech Ctr. Dr.
Room 4H84
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196

Leon M. Kestenbaum, Esq. H. Richard Juhnke, Esq. US Sprint Communications Corp. 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

Lewis J. Paper, Esq. Robert F. Aldrich, Esq. Keck, Mahin & Cate 1500 Sansome Street Suite 201 San Francisco, CA 94111

Martin T. McCue, Esq. VP & General Counsel U.S. Telephone Assn. 900 19th Street, N.W. Suite 800

Genevieve Morelli, Esq.
Competitive Telecommunications
Association
1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert J. Aamoth, Esq. Michael R. Wack, Esq. Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1200 18th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter A. Rohrbach, Esq. Karis A. Hastings, Esq. Hogan & Hartson 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

James E. Taylor, Esq.
Durward D. Dupre, Esq.
Richard C. Hartgrove, Esq.
Richael J. Zpevak, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Tel. Co.
1010 Pine Street, Room 2114
St. Louis, MO 63101

Lisa M. Zaina, Esq.
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Debra L. Lagapa, Esq.
Ellen G. Block, Esq.
Cathleen A. Massey, Esq.
Morrison and Foerster
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006

C. Dean Kurtz Vice President - Regulatory Policy Central Telephone Company 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Rochelle D. Jones Director, Regulatory Matters Southern New England Tel. Co. 227 Church Street - 15th Floor New Haven, CT 06510-1806 Robert B. McKenna Lawrence E. Sarjeant, Esq. Kathryn Marie Krause U S West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Andrew L. Regitsky Senior Manager MCI Telecommunications Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Josephine S. Trubek
Michael J. Shortley, III
Rochester Telephone Corp.
180 South Clinton Ave.
Rochester, NY 14646-0700

COMPUSERVE, INC. 5000 Arlington Centre Blvd. Columbus, OH 43220

Marilyn Moore
Robin Pischel Ancona
Michigan PSC
Telecommunications & Motor
Carrier Section
6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7
Lansing, MI 48909

Darrell S. Townsley Special Asst. Attorney Gen. Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle Street Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601

Shirley S. Fujimoto, Esq. Christine M. Gill, Esq. Brian T. Ashby, Esq. Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Barbara L. Samson Chairman of the Board of Directors ALTS 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 1050 Washington, D.C. 20036 Eric Fishman, Esq.
Sullivan & Worcester
1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ward W. Wueste, Jr., Esq. Richard McKenna, Esq. GTE Service Corp. P. O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092

Joseph W. Miller, Esq.
Williams Telecommunications
Group, Inc.
P. O. Box 2400, Suite 3600
One Williams Center
Tulsa, OK 74102

Hollis G. Duensing
General Solicitor
The Association of American
Railroads
50 F Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Robert C. Atkinson, Sr.
Vice President - Regulatory
and External Affairs
Teleport Communications Grp.
One Teleport Drive, Suite 301
Staten Island, NY 10311

Jay C. Keithley, Esq.
The United Telephone System
Companies
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert C. Mackichan, Jr., Esq. Vincent L. Crivella, Esq. Michael J. Ettner, Esq. General Services Administration 18th & F Streets, N.W. Room 4002 Washington, D.C. 20405

Werner K. Hartenberger, Esq. Peter C. Canfield, Esq. J.G. Harrington, Esq. Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1255 23rd Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20037

Thomas J. Casey, Esq. Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Mark S. Hayward, Acting Chief Barry Pineless, Esq. United States Small Business Administration 1441 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20416

Irwin A. Popowsky
Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of
Consumer Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
National Telephone Cooperative
Association
2626 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Ron Tolliver
Vice President - Regulatory
Affairs
Intermedia Communications
of Florida, Inc.
9280 Bay Plaza Blvd.
Suite 720
Tampa, FL 73619

Joseph C. Harkins, Jr. Chairman
Penn Access Corporation
Centre City Tower
650 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3907

Tom Sugrne
Acting Assistant Secretary
for Communications &
Information
National Telecommunications
& Information Administration
c/o U.S. Department of
Commerce
14th & Constitution Ave., N.W.
Room 4898
Washington, D.C. 20230

Constance K. Robinson, Chief Carl Willner Communications & Finance Section Department of Justice Judiciary Center Building 555 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Eileen E. Huggard
Deputy General Counsel
New York City Department of
Telecommunications & Energy
75 Park Place, Sixth Floor
New York, New York 10007

Samuel Loudenslager
Deputy Director
Research & Policy Development
Arkansas Public Service
Commission
P. O. Box 400
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Colleen M. Dale Senior Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Richard Rubin Fleischman and Walsh, P.C. 1400 Sixteenth St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Tim Raven, CAE, President Texas Telephone Association 3701 Executive Center Drive Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78731 Dan F. Kennedy, CPA
Vice President of Finance
Matanuska Telephone
Association, Inc.
1740 S. Chugach Street
Palmer, Alaska 99645

David Brevitz
Kansas Consolidated
Professional Resources
712 S. Kansas Avenue
Suite 300
Topeka, KS 66603

Richard D. Lawson
Director - Federal
Regulatory Relations
Sprint Corporation
1850 M Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jeffrey Blumenfeld Glenn B. Manishin Blumenfeld & Cohen 1615 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Larry Van Ruler
Tallon Cheeseman and
Associates, Inc.
3617 Betty Drive, Suite I
Colorado Springs, CO 80917

Michael Rosenquist President Bay Area Teleport 1141 Harbor Bay Parkway Suite 260 Alameda, California 94501

Earl C. Kamsky
Vice President - Government
Affairs/Administrative
Electric Lightwave
Post Office Box 4959
Vancouver, Washington 98662

Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich Keck, Mahin & Cate 1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Penthouse Suite Washington, D.C. 20005

Mary E. Newmeyer Federal Affairs Advisor Advisory Staff Alabama Public Service Commission P. O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101

Mark L. Figura Rose & Figura 1207 West 8th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Ruth Grendahl
Deputh Commissioner
Minnesota Department of
Public Service
790 American Center
150 East Kellogg Blvd.
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Darrel Peterson, Chairman Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 790 American Center 150 East Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

James T. Geddis Vice President Linkatel Communications, Inc. 2330 Faraday Avenue Carlsbad, CA 92008

W. Richard Morris
P. O. Box 11315
Kansas City, Missouri 64112

Henry Walker, General Counsel Tennessee Public Service Commission 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Randall R. Lowe John E. Hoover Charles H.N. Kallenbach Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 1450 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Edwin H. Eichler Pigeon Telephone Company 7585 West Pigeon Road Pigeon, MI 48755

Elizabeth A. Kushibab Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Thomas J. Moorman General Counsel Regulatory & Industry Affairs John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

Celia Petrowsky